

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,437	07/03/2001	Robert Harvey Moffett	CH2814 US NA	1926
23906	7590 08/08/2002			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			EXAMINER	
			HRUSKOCI, PETER A	
4417 LANCA WILMINGTO	ON, DE 19805		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2002) 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)
MOFFETT, ROBERT HARVEY
Art Unit
1724

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extens have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may received patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
	(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the	

7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

application in condition for allowance because: _

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u>.

Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u>.

Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u>.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In claims 1 and 32 "silica-based" raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, Chung et al. is considered analogous art because this reference and Allgulin are both drawn to processes for removing impurities from aqueous streams as in the instant process.

PETER A. HRUSKOC PRIMARY EXAMINE

A.V.1724